# **Correlation between equation of state and temperature and pressure dependence of self-diffusion coefficient of polymers and simple liquids**

# **S. Saeki\*, M. Tsubokawa, J. Yamanaka and T. Yamaguchi**

*Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Fukui University, Fukui 910, Japan (Received 12 October 1989; revised 30 November 1989; accepted 11 December 1989)* 

Correlation between the equation of state and the temperature dependence of the self-diffusion coefficient D for polymers such as polystyrene (PS) and polydimethyl siloxane (PDMS) and simple liquids such as argon, methane and benzene and the pressure dependence of D for oligomers such as dimethyl siloxane (DMS) and Simple liquids such as cyclohexane and methanol has been examined based on the equation of state derived previously. The experimental data used were published by Antonietti *et al.* and McCall *et al.* for polymers, by McCall for linear dimethylsiloxanes and by Jonas *et al.* and Woolf *et al.* for simple liquids. The expression for  $D$  in this work is given by

$$
\ln(D/T) = A_1(M) - B_1\{(T_c - T)/T\}^{n_1} - C_1(T)\{P + P_1(T)\}^{m_1}
$$

where  $A_1(M)$  is a function of molecular weight  $M_w$ ,  $C_1(T)$  and  $P_1(T)$  are functions of temperature and  $B_1$ ,  $n_1$  and  $m_1$  are constants determined experimentally. For simple liquids, the values of  $n_1$  obtained range from 0.3 to 1.2, with an average  $\bar{n}_1 = 0.76$ , and  $m_1$  is in the range 0.5–1.2, with  $\bar{m}_1 = 0.79$ . For polymers, values of  $n_1$  are in the range 2.5-7.0 for PS and 0.5-1.3 for PDMS and  $m_1$  for DMS is in the range 0.8-1.0. The relation  $D\eta/T=f(M)$  is found to be useful for simple liquids over a wide range of temperature including the critical region and for pressures up to  $\approx$  5 kbar†. There is a close correlation between  $ln(D/T)$ and  $\alpha_p$  and  $\beta_T$  through  $\ln(D/T) \sim \ln D_c - \alpha_p^{-1} - \beta_T^{-1}$ , where  $D_c$  is D at the critical temperature and  $\alpha_p$  and  $\beta_T$  are the thermal expansion coefficient and compressibility, respectively. The molecular weight dependence of D for polymers and simple liquids is discussed based on the experimental data and recent theory of Doi and Edwards. A new model for the mechanism of self-diffusion in the liquid state is proposed.

**(Keywords: self-diffusion coefficient; polymer; simple liquid; equation of state; Stokes-Einstein equation)** 

#### INTRODUCTION

The self-diffusion coefficient in the liquid state for polymers and simple liquids is the most fundamental quantity in understanding transport phenomena on the molecular level, and its temperature and pressure dependence is practically important. The Stokes-Einstein equation is a well known and important equation used in discussing the diffusion phenomena of spherical molecules in dilute solution, and is given by

$$
D = kT/6\pi\eta a \tag{1}
$$

where  $k$  is the Boltzmann constant,  $\eta$  the viscosity and a the diameter of the particle. The validity of equation (1) is shown by the extensive data available for simple liquids over a wide range of temperature and pressure **1-s.**  On the other hand, deviation from the Stokes-Einstein equation is also reported  $9-11$ , given by

$$
D \propto \eta^{-\alpha} \tag{2}
$$

where  $\alpha$ <1.0, e.g.  $\alpha$ =0.68 (Reference 10). One of the explanations for equation (2) is discussed by Zwanzig *et al.*<sup>11</sup>.

There has been extensive theoretical work on selfdiffusion in the liquid state. Eyring *et al. 12* derived the

0032-3861/90/122338-08

© 1990 Butterworth-Heinemann Ltd.

**2338 POLYMER, 1990, Vol 31,** December

equation  $\ln D \propto -E_a/kT$ , where  $E_a$  is the activation energy in the theory of absolute reaction rates. Cohen and Turnbull<sup>13</sup> derived  $\ln D \propto -v^*/v_f$  based on the free volume theory, where  $v^*$  is the critical volume and  $v_f$  the free volume. By assuming exponential decay of the autocorrelation function for the velocity of a particle, Longuet-Higgins and Pople derived an expression for self-diffusion<sup>14</sup>. Rigorous calculations of the self-diffusion coefficient by using the pair potential and distribution function have been done by Kirkwood *et al. 15* and Chapman and Cowling<sup>16</sup>. The behaviour of D in the critical region is discussed by Kawasaki<sup>17</sup>.

The main purpose of this work is to establish an empirical equation for D which can predict experimental data over a wide range of temperature, pressure and molecular weight and to discuss the Stokes-Einstein equation through a comparison of the empirical equation for ln D with that for  $\ln \eta$  obtained previously<sup>11</sup>

## DERIVATION OF EMPIRICAL EQUATION FOR SELF-DIFFUSION COEFFICIENT

In previous work we derived an empirical equation for viscosity, expressed by<sup>18</sup>

$$
\ln \eta = A_0(M) + B_0 \{ (T_c - T)/T \}^{n_0} + C_0(T) \{ P + P_0(T) \}^{m_0}
$$
\n(3)

<sup>\*</sup> To whom correspondence should be addressed

 $+1$  kbar = 100 MPa

where  $A_0(M)$  is a function of the molecular weight of the liquid,  $C_0(T)$  and  $P_0(T)$  are functions of temperature and  $B_0$ ,  $n_0$  and  $m_0$  are constants determined by the experimental data. In this work we propose an empirical equation for  $D$  by analogy with equation  $(3)$ :

$$
\ln(D/T) = A_1(M) - B_1\{(T_c - T)/T\}^{n_1}
$$
  
- C<sub>1</sub>(T){P + P<sub>1</sub>(T)}<sup>m\_1</sup> (4)

In the special case  $n_0 = n_1$ ,  $m_0 = m_1$ ,  $B_0 = B_1$ ,  $C_0(T) = C_1(T)$ and  $P_0(T) = P_1(T)$ , equations (3) and (4) reduce to

$$
\ln(D\eta/T) = A_0(M) + A_1(M)
$$
 (5)

which is the simplest relation between  $D$  and  $\eta$ . In a more general case,  $n_0 = n_1$ ,  $m_0 = m_1$ ,  $P_0(T) = P_1(T)$ , but  $B_0 \neq$  $B_1$  and  $C_0(T) \neq C_1(T)$ , it is derived that

$$
\ln(D\eta^{(B_1/B_0)}/T) = C_1^* - C_2^* \{P + P_0(T)\}^{m_0}
$$
 (6)

where  $C_1^* = A_1(M) + A_0(M) - B_1/B_0$  and  $C_2^* = C_1 C_0B_1/B_0$ , which corresponds to equation (2). For a polymer with large  $T_c$ , equation (4) reduces to

$$
\ln(D/T) = A_1(M) - B'_1 T^{-n_1} - C_1(T) \{ P + P_1(T) \}^{m_1} (7)
$$

## RESULTS

The temperature and pressure dependences of selfdiffusion coefficients for polymers and simple liquids are shown in *Figures 1-4.* Linearity is observed, suggesting that equations (4) and (7) can predict the experimental data over a wide range of temperature and pressure. Sources of data, and indices and constants determined in this work, are listed in *Tables 1* and 2. Values of  $n_1$ in  $ln(D/T) \sim \{(T_c-T)/T\}^{n_1}$  are in the range 0.3-1.2 for simple liquids,  $2.5-7.0$  for PS and  $0.5-1.3$  for PDMS; values of  $m_1$  in  $\ln D \sim \{P + P_1(T)\}^{m_1}$  at constant temperature are 0.5-1.2 for simple liquids and 0.8-1.0 for DMS. Values of  $A_1(M)$  are determined at atmospheric pressure,  $P = 1$  bar, by using the approximation

$$
A_1(M) = \ln(D/T) + B_1\{(T_c - T)/T\}^{n_1} + C_1(T)\{P + P_1(T)\}^{m_1}
$$
  
 
$$
\sim \ln(D/T) + B_1\{(T_c - T)/T\}^{n_1}
$$
 (8)

where

$$
C_1(T)\{P+P_1(T)\}^{m_1} \sim 10^{-3}
$$
  

$$
\ll B_1\{(T_c-T)/T\}^{n_1}
$$

at  $P = 1$  bar is used.



**Figure 1**  $\ln(D/T)$  versus  $\{(T_c-T)/T\}^{n_1}$  for:  $\triangle$ , methane with  $n_1 = 0.51$ ;  $\bigcirc$ , ethane with  $n_1 = 0.49$ . The unit of D is cm<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>



Figure 2  $\ln(D/T)$  versus  $T^{-n_1}$  for polystyrene:  $\bigcirc$ ,  $M_w = 9200$  g mol<sup>-1</sup>,  $n_1 = 7.2$ ;  $\triangle$ ,  $M_w = 1.84 \times 10^4$ ,  $n_1 = 7.0$ 



Figure 3 ln D versus P<sup>m<sub>1</sub></sup> for benzene:  $\bigcirc$ , 298.2K,  $m_1 = 0.77$ ;  $\bigtriangleup$ ,  $313.2$  K,  $m_1 = 0.84$ 

#### DISCUSSION

It is interesting to compare quantitatively the expression for  $\ln \eta$  in equation (3) with that for  $\ln(D/T)$  in equation (4). In previous work we have obtained an expression for  $\ln \eta$  (Reference 18). The temperature dependence of In  $\eta$  and of  $\ln(D/T)$  is examined through  $n_0$  and  $B_0$  for  $\ln \eta$  and  $n_1$  and  $B_1$  for  $\ln(D/T)$ . For Ar, ethane and n-pentane  $n_0$  in ln  $\eta$  is 0.5,  $n_0 = 1.0$  for n-alkane,  $9 \le n \le 64$ , 7.0 for PS, 2.0 for PIB and 2.5 for polybutadiene<sup>18</sup>. For Ar  $n_1$  is in the range 0.47-0.52,  $n_1=0.49$  for ethane,  $0.3 \le n_1 \le 1.2$  for n-alkane,  $6 \le n \le 18$ , and  $2.5 \le n_1 \le 7.0$ 



Figure 4  $\ln D$  versus  $P^{m_1}$  for dimethyl siloxane  $(CH_3)_3Si\{OSi(CH_3)_2\}_{N-2}OSi(CH_3)_3:$  $O, N = 3, m_1 = 0.96; \triangle, N = 5, m_1 = 0.89$ 

for PS. The average of  $B_0$  in ln  $\eta$  is  $\bar{B}_0 = 2.13$  in the simple liquids, compared with  $\overline{B}_1 = 2.36$  in  $\ln(D/T)$  (see *Table* 1). On the other hand, the pressure dependence of  $\ln \eta$ and  $\ln(D/T)$  is examined by  $m_0$ ,  $C_0(T)$  and  $m_1$ ,  $C_1(T)$ . Values of  $m_0$  for  $\ln \eta$  in simple liquids except for CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O are in the range 0.6–1.0, with  $\bar{m}_0$  = 0.79, while  $m_1$  in  $\ln(D/T)$  is in the range 0.6–1.0 with  $\bar{m}_1 = 0.79$ . The constant  $C_0$  is of the order of  $10^{-3}$ , as is  $C_1(T)$ . Values of  $m_1$  for water are almost equal to those of  $m_0$  in  $\ln n$ (see *Table 2).* From these quantitative comparisons between  $\ln \eta$  in equation (3) and  $\ln(D/T)$  in equation (4) the following relations are obtained:

$$
n_0 \simeq n_1 \tag{9a}
$$

$$
m_0 \simeq m_1 \tag{9b}
$$

$$
B_0 \simeq B_1 \tag{9c}
$$

$$
C_0 \simeq C_1 \tag{9d}
$$

These relations lead to equation (5) if  $P_0(T) = P_1(T)$ .

It is interesting to determine  $A_1(M)$  in equation (4) through the experimental data. In this work we assume that

$$
A_1(M) = A_1^* - \ln M_{\mathbf{w}}^{a_i} \tag{10}
$$

where  $A_{i}^{*}$  is a constant,  $i=0$  for a simple liquid with  $a_0 = 0.5$  and  $i = 1$  for a polymer with  $a_1 = 0.0$ . A plot of  $A_1(M)$  + ln  $M_{\rm w}^{a_i} = A_1^*$  against ln  $M_{\rm w}$  is shown in *Figure 5*, where  $A_1^*$  is in the range  $-11.5$  to  $-13.0$  for  $\ln(D/T)$ with D in cm<sup>3</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>, which corresponds to  $1.0 \times 10^{-5}$ - $2.3 \times 10^{-6}$  in *D*/T. For example, at  $T = 300$  K,  $3.04 \times$  $10^{-3} \leqslant D \leqslant 6.78 \times 10^{-4}$  cm<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> which is comparable with the values for dense gas. As indicated in equation (4),  $A_1(M)$  corresponds to  $ln(D/T)$  at the critical point if  $P_1(T_c) = -P_c$  and is therefore nearly equal to that of gas.

A deviation from constancy occurs in *Figure 5* for high molecular weights  $M_w > 400$ . Experimental data on the molecular weight dependence of  $D$  at constant  $T$  show that

$$
D \sim M_{\rm w}^{-\alpha} \tag{11}
$$

where  $2.0 \le \alpha \le 2.6$  (References 19-24), while the slope of  $A_1^*$  against ln  $M_w$  for high molecular weights in *Figure 5* is  $\approx -2.5$  and  $\ddot{D} \sim M^{-2.5}$ .

It is important to discuss a correlation between the self-diffusion coefficient and the equation of state. It was found in previous work<sup>25,26</sup> that the temperature dependence of the thermal expansion coefficient is given by

$$
(\alpha_{\mathbf{p}}T)^{-1} \sim X^{\beta_0} \tag{12}
$$

$$
\sim \{ (T_{\rm c} - T)/T \}^{\beta o/3} \qquad T \sim T_{\rm c} \tag{13}
$$

where  $X$  is defined by

$$
X = (V_c - V)/V \tag{14}
$$

and the pressure dependence of compressibility  $\beta_{\rm T}$  is given by

$$
\beta_{\rm T}^{-1} \propto \{P + P_0^*(T)\}^{\rm m\delta} \tag{15}
$$

where  $\beta_0/3$  is in the range 0.67-1.0 for simple liquids if  $2.0 \le \beta_0 \le 3.0$  and  $0.7 \le m_0^* \le 1.0$  for polymers and simple liquids<sup>26</sup> with  $\bar{m}_0^*$  = 0.87. From a comparison between *ln(D/T)* and equations (13) and (15), strong correlations are found between  $ln(D/T)$  and  $-\beta_T^{-1}$  at constant temperature and  $ln(D/T)$  and  $-(\alpha<sub>p</sub> T)^{-1}$  at constant pressure. However, we cannot find such correlations in polymers as  $n_1 = 7.0$  for PS. It is useful to express the



Figure 5  $A_1^*$  versus  $\ln M_w$  for polymers and simple liquids: 1, methane; 2, ethane; 3, Ar; 4, benzene; 5, n-hexane; 6, n-heptane; 7, n-nonane; 8, n-decane; 9,  $C_6H_5I$ ; 10, n-octadecane; 11, DMS ( $N=2$ ); 12, DMS  $(N=4)$ ; 13, DMS  $(N=6)$ ; 14, DMS  $(N=9)$ ; 15, PDMS,  $M_w = 1.5 \times 10^4$ ; 16, PE,  $M_w = 2440$ ; 17, PE,  $M_w = 5800$ ; 18, PE;  $M_w = 4100$ ; 19, PS,  $M_w = 2400$ ; 20, PS,  $M_w = 9200$ ; 21, PS,  $M_w = 18400$ ; 22, PS,  $M_w = 27100$ ; 23, PS,  $M_w = 31200$ ; 24, PS,  $M_w = 48400$ 

#### *Self-diffusion coefficients of polymers and simple fiquids: S. Saeki* et al.





<sup>a</sup> The unit of D is  $cm<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>$ 

correlation by

and

$$
\ln(D/T) = {\ln(D/T)}_c - k_0(\alpha_p T)^{-1} - k_1 \beta_T^{-1}
$$
 (16)

The physical meaning of  $ln(D/T)$  in equation (16) is attributed to that of  $(\alpha_{p}T)^{-1}$  and  $\beta_{T}^{-1}$ . The quantity  $(\alpha_p T)^{-1}$  means a variation of thermal energy  $k\Delta T/kT$ due to a change of volume  $\Delta V/V$ , which comes from  $(\alpha_{p}T)^{-1} = (\partial \ln T / \partial \ln V)_{p}$ , while  $\beta_{T}^{-1} = (\partial P / \partial \ln V)_{T}$  gives a pressure change  $\Delta P$  associated with  $\Delta V/V$  at constant temperature and gives a measure of variation of mechanical energy due to volume change. One can say that  $(\alpha_p T)^{-1}$  and  $\beta_T^{-1}$  are related to the variation of energy associated with the volume change. It is interesting to note that the temperature and pressure derivatives of Gibbs free energy are related to  $\alpha_p$  and  $\beta_T$  as follows:

$$
(\partial G/\partial V)_{\rm T} = -\beta_{\rm T}^{-1} \tag{17}
$$

$$
f_{\rm{max}}
$$

$$
(\partial G/\partial V)_{\mathbf{P}} = -(S/V)\alpha_{\mathbf{p}}^{-1} \tag{18}
$$

These mean that the Gibbs free energy increases with decreasing volume and  $|\Delta G|$  is larger in a liquid with smaller  $\alpha_p$  and smaller  $\beta_T$ . In the diffusion process of a liquid, collisions between molecules occur frequently and the diffusing molecule loses energy in the form of mechanical work and heat. The terms  $-(\alpha_pT)^{-1}$  and  $-\beta_{\text{T}}^{-1}$  in equation (16) may reflect the negative contribution to the self-diffusion coefficient due to collisions, which disappear in a dilute gas where  $ln(D/T) \sim A_1(M)$ in equation (4). Some data supporting equation (16) are shown in water, where the pressure dependence of  $-\alpha_p^{-1}$ (Reference 27) indicates a maximum at a lower temperature  $T = 25^{\circ}$ C and a monotonous decrease with pressure for the higher temperature  $T=50^{\circ}$ C, as shown in *Figure* 6, which is comparable with the pressure dependence of *In(D/T)* (Reference 28).

The theoretical work on  $D$  is very interesting. Litovitz *et al. 29* proposed that mobility of molecules depends upon two independent processes: the occurrence of a sufficiently large vacancy near a molecule and the

Liquid	$\boldsymbol{m}_1$	$P_1$ (bar)	$\boldsymbol{T}$ (K)	$f_0(T)$	$10^3C_1(T)$	Max. P (bar)	Ref.
Methanol	0.855	0	263.0	0.825	0.825	4365	48
	0.788	0	283.0	0.516	1.28	4905	48
	0.779	0	298.2	0.887	1.30	3858	48
	0.828	$\bf{0}$	303.0	1.01	0.904	4905	48
Ethanol	0.645	0	298.2	0.096	5.24	2652	49
Benzene	0.770	0	298.2	0.794	3.32	645	4
	0.842	0	313.2	1.029	1.91	975	4
	0.762	0	328.2	1.300	3.09	1496	4
	0.860	0	333.2	1.308	1.68	1544	4
	0.670	0	348.0	1.496	7.40	2958	4
	0.722	0	393.3	1.950	4.50	4544	4
	0.538	0	433.0	2.61	23.2	4000	4
Tetramethyl siloxane (TMS)	0.724	0	298.0	1.38	6.17	4000	4
	0.620	0	323.0	1.865	14.9	4000	4
<b>TMS</b>	0.584	0	348.9	2.15	19.2	4500	4
	0.532	0	373.0	2.54	31.5	4500	4
Methyl cyclohexane	0.790	0	203.0	$-1.76$	5.08	3000	50
	0.856	0	223.0	$-1.17$	3.04	4000	50
	0.740	$\bf{0}$	253.0	0.065	7.15	5000	50
	0.800	0	298.0	0.672	3.52	5000	50
Cyclohexane	0.986	0	313.0	0.652	1.12	600	7
	0.849	0	333.0	1.018	2.78	1000	$\pmb{7}$
	0.688	0	358.0	1.469	8.97	1600	7
	0.738	0	383.0	1.77	6.05	2140	$\pmb{7}$
Carbon tetrachloride	0.777	0	298.2	0.413	4.75	1216	3
	0.677	0	313.2	0.537	7.67	1469	3
	0.873	0	328.2	0.733	1.77	1475	3
Methyl iodide	1.12	0	302.2	0.0	0.12	6000	$\boldsymbol{2}$
$DDMF^b$	0.81	0	288.19	0.3745	1.85	2928	51
	0.785	0	298.1	0.5433	2.22	2958	51
	1.02	0	313.15	0.603	2.88	2908	51
NMF <sup>c</sup>	0.90	0	288.15	$-0.343$	0.84	2588	51
	0.875	0	298.15	$-0.162$	0.95	2732	51
	0.92	0	313.15	0.133	0.64	2948	51
OMCTS <sup>d</sup>	0.841	0	323.0	$-0.504$	4.23	584	52
Water	1.10	$-1000$	277.2	0.312	$1.33 \times 10^{-2}$	3018	28
	1.69	$-1012$	283.2	0.486	$1.71 \times 10^{-4}$	3032.	28
	1.34	$-507$	298.2	0.854	$1.98 \times 10^{-3}$	3008	28
	1.37	$\bf{0}$	318.2	1.268	$1.59 \times 10^{-3}$	2998	28
	0.89	0	333.2	1.544	$7.7 \times 10^{-2}$	2940	28
Heavy water	1.18	$-2000$	283.2	0.291	$1.49 \times 10^{-2}$	6000	6
	1.39	$-1000$	303.2	0.725	$3.50 \times 10^{-3}$	9000	6
	0.99	0	333.2	1.325	$5.89 \times 10^{-2}$	8000	6
	0.981	$\pmb{0}$	363.2	1.724	$7.96 \times 10^{-2}$	9000	6
	0.800	0	393.2	2.09	0.455	8000	6
	0.700	0	423.2	2.51	1.13	9000	6
	0.767	0	473.2	2.88	0.668	9000	6
DMS $N=3$	0.96	0	298.2	$0.0\,$	1.43	700	47
DMS $N=5$	0.89	0	298.2	$-0.758$	2.84	700	47

**Table 2** Indices and constants in  $ln(D \times 10^5) = f_0(T) - C_1(T)(P + P_1)^{m_1}$  for polymers and simple liquids<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> The unit of D is  $cm^2 s^{-1}$ 

 $N$ , $N$ -dimethyl-1 $[^2H_1]$ formamide

 $c$  N,N-dimethyl formamide

<sup>d</sup> Octamethylcyclotetrasiloxane



Figure 6 (a) *D versus P* for water at: A,  $60^{\circ}$ C; B, 25<sup>°</sup>C; C, 4<sup>°</sup>C. (b)  $-\alpha_n^{-1}$  versus P for water at:  $\triangle$ , 50°C;  $\triangle$ , 25°C

molecule itself gaining enough energy to break away from its neighbours. In the latter process a minimum energy loss is necessary. Cohen and Turnbull<sup>13</sup> stressed the importance of the former process and assumed that a molecule can move into a vacancy only if it reaches a critical volume  $v^*$  by fluctuation and coalescence of the smaller free volumes of neighbouring molecules. The equation is given by

$$
D = ga^*u \exp(-\gamma v^*/v_f) \tag{19}
$$

where  $q$  is a geometric factor,  $a^*$  the molecular diameter and  $\gamma$  a numerical factor. Equation (19) is expressed as a function of temperature and pressure as follows:

$$
\ln D \sim \{\bar{v}_{\rm m}\bar{\alpha}_{\rm p}(T-T_0) - \bar{v}_{\rm o}\bar{\beta}_{\rm T}\,\Delta P\}^{-1} \tag{20}
$$

where  $\bar{v}_{m}$  is the mean molecular volume,  $\bar{v}_{p}$  the mean molecular volume for the pressure increment  $\Delta P$ , and  $\bar{\alpha}_p$ and  $\beta_{\text{T}}$  are the mean thermal expansion and compressibility, respectively. Gray<sup>30</sup> pointed out that there is a significant probability that the molecule will jump back to its original position. He reviewed the statistical mechanics theory of the transport process, where the parameters of the pair potential and radial distribution

function and its density derivative are important, and stressed that great accuracy of these functions is needed to predict the experimental data<sup>30</sup>. O'Reilly<sup>31</sup> discussed the temperature and pressure dependence of D for water by the scaled particle theory, in which the work required to create a vacancy of molecular dimension is evaluated.

We tried to determine a volume dependence of *ln(D/T)*  for simple liquids, expressed by

$$
\ln D = A_2 X^{\delta_0} + B_2 \tag{21}
$$

Values of  $\delta_0$ ,  $A_2$  and  $B_2$  obtained from the data are shown in *Table 3*, where  $\delta_0$  is in the range of 1.0-5.0, with an average  $\delta_0 = 2.8$ , and varies greatly with temperature.

a average  $v_0 = 2.6$ , and varies greatly with emperature.<br>Kawasaki<sup>17</sup> shows that a large anomaly in D near  $T_c$ is not expected, but a sharp discontinuity in the first derivative of *D versus* temperature is expected. According  $\uparrow$  to equation (16), there is no anomaly at  $T_c$  but d ln  $D/dT \sim -(T_c - T)^{-0.33} - (T_c - T)^{0.33}$  diverges at  $T_c$ , where  $\alpha_n^{-1} \sim (T_c - T)^{0.67}$  and  $\beta_T^{-1} \sim (T_c - T)^{1.33}$  obtained in the previous work are used  $2^{5,26}$ .

It is very important to discuss the molecular weight dependence of  $\overline{D}$  for polymers and simple liquids. It is found experimentally that  $D \sim M^{-0.5}$  for compressed rare gases<sup>32</sup>,  $D \sim Z^{-1.55}$  for polydimethyl siloxane<sup>33</sup> for  $2 \le Z \le 284$ , where Z is the number of silicone atoms per molecule;  $D \sim n^{-1.67}$  for normal paraffin<sup>34</sup> for  $7 \le n \le$ **b** 400;  $D \sim M^{-2.4} - M^{-2.5}$  for polystyrene and poly(methyl styrene)<sup>23</sup> for  $3.0 < 10^{-4} M_{\rm w} < 15.0$  and  $D \sim M_{\rm w}^{-2.0}$  for  $10^{-4}M_{\rm w} > 15.0$ ;  $D \sim M_{\rm w}^{-2.0} - M_{\rm w}^{-2.4}$  for PS; where the indices depend on temperature<sup>20</sup>;  $D \sim M_w^{-2.0}$  for PS and  $PE^{35}$  above and below the critical molecular weight  $M_c$ ;  $D \sim M_n^{-1}$  for polyethylene glycol for  $M_n \le 10^3$ ; and  $D \sim M_{\rm n}^{-2}$  for  $M_{\rm n} > 3 \times 10^3$  (Reference 19). On the other hand, the molecular weight dependence of viscosity  $\eta$  is given by  $\eta \sim M_{\rm w}^{0.5}$  for simple liquids over  $M_{\rm w}$  < 1000,  $\eta \sim M^{1.0}$  for polymers with  $M_{\rm w} < M_{\rm c}$  and  $\eta \sim M_{\rm w}^{3.4}$  for the high molecular weight  $M_w > M_c$  (Reference 18). It is shown from these results that  $D\eta \sim M^{\circ}$  for simple compressed gas or liquid,  $D\eta \sim M^{-0.5 \sim -1.2}$  for nparaffin and oligomers,  $D\eta \sim M^{-1.0}$  for polymers with  $M_{\rm w}$  <  $M_{\rm c}$ , and  $D\eta \sim M_{\rm w}^{1.0-1.4}$  for  $M_{\rm w} > M_{\rm c}$ . In *Table 4* the contributions of terms in equation (3) for  $\eta$  and those in equation (4) are evaluated for polystyrene at  $T \sim 490$  K where contributions from  $(P + P_0)^{m_0}$  and  $(P + P_1)^{m_1}$  are neglected at 1 bar. It is shown that the molecular weight dependent term in D,  $A_1(M)$ , is dominant over lower  $M_w$ and the  $B_1T^{-n_1}$  term reflecting a contribution from the local motion increases with increasing  $M<sub>w</sub>$  and becomes comparable with  $A_1(M)$  at  $M_w = 1.61 \times 10^5$ , while for  $\eta$ , the term  $B_0 T^{-n_0}$  is almost constant against  $M_w$  and the

**Table 3** Indices and constants in  $ln(D/T) = A_2 - B_2X^{30}$  for liquids<sup>a</sup>

Liquid	$-A2$	В,	$\delta_{\alpha}$	Temp. (K)	Ref.
Methyl cyclohexane	6.53	3.76	1.50	203.0	50
	10.5	1.58	2.06	223.0	50
	13.7	0.418	3.04	253.0	50
	14.9	0.161	3.72	298.0	50
Cyclohexane	11.0	2.83	1.16	358.0	7
	14.6	0.288	3.18	383.0	7
Benzene	15.1	0.048	4.71	433.0	4
	14.8	0.208	3.10	393.0	4
	14.7	0.243	3.05	348.0	4

<sup>a</sup> The unit of D is  $cm<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>$ 

$ln(D/T)^b$				$\ln n^c$				
$10^{-3} M_{\rm w}$	$n_{1}$	$A_1(M)$	$-B'_1T^{-n_1}$	$10^{-3} M_{\rm w}$	$n_0$	$A_0(M)$	$B_0 T^{-n_0}$	
18.4	7.0	$-24.63$	$-3.32$	3.7	7.0	$-1.50$	3.25	
27.1	6.5	$-24.46$	$-3.85$	4.9	7.0	$-1.18$	1.20	
31.2	6.1	$-24.24$	$-4.28$	25.7	7.0	0.86	3.39	
48.4	5.9	$-24.93$	$-4.51$	32.2	7.0	1.62	3.25	
72.3	3.5	$-20.75$	$-9.45$	80.0	7.0	4.00	3.44	
161.0	2.5	$-17.23$	$-14.5$	134.0	7.0	6.0	3.34	

**Table 4** Relative contributions of terms in  $\ln(D/T) \sim A_1(M) - B'_1 T^{-n_1}$  and  $\ln \eta = A_0(M) + B_0 T^{-n_0}$  for polystyrene<sup>*a*</sup> at  $T \approx 490 \text{ K}$ 

 $^{a}$  M<sub>c</sub> $\sim$  22.0  $\times$  10<sup>3</sup>, estimated from Ref. 18

Reference 22

c Reference 18

term  $A_0(M)$  increases rapidly with increasing  $M_w$  and becomes larger than  $B_0 T^{-n_0}$  for  $M_w > 8.0 \times 10^4$ .

It is also pointed out in *Table 4* that the temperature dependence of  $\ln \eta \sim B_0 T^{-n_0}$  is different from that of  $\ln D \sim -B_1 T^{-n_1}$ . For example,  $n_0$  for  $\eta$  is constant,  $n_0 = 7.0$ , for  $3.7 \le 10^{-3} M_w \le 134$ , while  $n_1$  for D decreases from 7.0 to 2.5 with increasing  $M_{\rm w}$  for  $18.4 \leq 10^{-3} M_{\rm w} \leq$ 161. This suggests a deviation from  $D\eta/T \propto f(M)$  in the range of high molecular weight where  $D\eta/T \propto f(M, T)$ or  $D\eta^{\alpha}/T \propto f(M)$  with  $\alpha \neq 1.0$ . The empirical relation  $Dn^{\alpha}$  = const. is also found in the xenon-hydrocarbon system where  $\alpha = 0.68$  (Reference 10). Zwanzig *et al.*<sup>11</sup> discussed the validity of the Stokes-Einstein equation by introducing an effective hydrodynamic radius which includes unusual microscopic interaction between a diffusing molecule and its environment in explaining the non-Stokes-Einstein behaviour  $D\eta^{\alpha}$  = const. They stressed that the Einstein relation  $D \sim kT/\xi$  is a direct consequence of linear response theory, independent of any mechanism for the friction  $\xi$ , and in the standard macroscopic hydrodynamics the dissipation is proportional to first power of viscosity of the fluid. However, for polymers, there is another important contribution to  $D$ , which is an entanglement between polymer chains in melts or solutions. According to the Doi-Edwards theory, the diffusion coefficient of the centre of gravity of the polymer  $D_G$  and steady state viscosity  $\eta^*(0)$  are expressed by  $3^{5-38}$ 

$$
D_{\mathbf{G}} \sim M^{-2} \rho^{-2\alpha' - \beta'} \tag{22}
$$

and

$$
\eta^*(0) \sim M^3 \rho^{4\alpha' + \beta' + 1} \tag{23}
$$

where  $\rho$  is the mass concentration, and  $\alpha'$  and  $\beta'$  are constants. It is estimated from equations (22) and (23) that there is no unique relation between  $D_G$  and  $\eta^*(0)$ as the Stokes-Einstein equation except for  $\rho \rightarrow 1$  at which  $D_{\rm G} \eta^*(0) \sim M^{1.6}$ . If the Stokes-Einstein equation is applied to the polymer with  $M_w > M_c$ , the diameter of the particle, a, is proportional to  $M_{\rm w}^{-1}$ . This unreasonable result also indicates that the Stokes-Einstein equation is not valid in the polymer.

## REFERENCES

- 1 Jonas, J. and Akai, *J. A. J. Chem. Phys.* 1977, 66, 4946
- 2 Benedek, G. B. and Purcell, *E. M. J. Chem. Phys.* 1954, 22, 2003 3 MeCool, M. A. and Woolf, *L. A. J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. I*  1972, 68, 1971
- *4 Parkhurst, H.J.JrandJonas, J.J. Chem.Phys. 1975,63,2698*
- 5 Parkhurst, H. J. Jr and Jonas, *J. J. Chem. Phys.* 1975, 63, 2705
- 6 Wilbur, D. J., Defries, T. and Jonas, *J. J. Chem. Phys.* 1976, 65, 1783
- 7 Jonas, J., Hasha, D. and Huang, *S. G. J. Phys. Chem.* 1980, 84, 109
- 8 McCool, M. A., Collings, A. F. and Woolf, *L. A. J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. 1* 1972, 68, 1489
- 9 Dullien, F. A. L. *Am. Inst. Chem. Eng.* 1972, 18, 62<br>10 Pollack, G. L. and Enveart, J. J. Phys. Rev. A 1985
- 10 Pollack, G. L. and Enyeart, *J. J. Phys. Rev. A* 1985, 31,980
- 11 Zwanzig, R. and Harrison, *A. K. J. Chem. Phys.* 1985, 83, 5861
- 12 Eyring, H. and R¢¢, T. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 1962, 48, 501
- 13 Cohen, M. and Turnbull, *D. J. Chem. Phys.* 1959, 31, 1164
- Longuet-Higgins, H. C. and Pople, *J. A. J. Chem. Phys.* 1956, **25,** 884
- 15 Kirkwood, J. G., Buff, F. P. and Green, *M. S. J. Chem. Phys.*  1949, 17, 988
- 16 Chapman, S. and Cowling, T. B. 'The Mathematical Theory of Non-Uniform Gases', Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1939
- 
- 17 Kawasaki, K. *Phys. Rev.* 1966, 150, 285<br>18 Saeki, S., Tsubokawa, M. and Yamagu 18 Saeki, S., Tsubokawa, M. and Yamaguchi, T. *Polymer* 1989, **30,** 1895
- 19 Sevreugin, V. A., Skirda, V. D. and Maklakov, A. I. *Polymer*  1986, 27, 290
- 20 Antonietti, M., Coutandin, J. and SiUescu, H. *Makromol. Chem. Rapid Commua.* 1984, 5, 525
- 21 Fleischer, G. *Makromol. Chem. Rapid Commun.* 1985, 6, 463
- Bartels, C. R., Crist, B. Jr., Fetters, L. J. and Graessley, W. W. *Macromolecules* 1986, 19, 785
- 23 Antonietti, M., Coutandin, J. and Sillescu, H. *Macromolecules*  1986, 19, 793
- 24 Antonietti, M. and SiUescu, H. *Macromolecules* 1986, 19, 798 25 Saeki, S., Tsubokawa, M. and Yamaguchi, T. *Polymer* 1988,
- 29, 123 26 Saeki, S., Tsubokawa, M. and Yamaguchi, T. *Polymer* 1989, **30,** 672
- 
- 27 Grindley, T. and Lind, J. E. Jr. *J. Chem. Phys.* 1986, 19, 798<br>28 Harris. K. R. and Woolf. L. A. J. Chem. Soc. Faradav Trans. 28 Harris, K. R. and Woolf, *L. A. J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. I*  1980, 76, 377
- 29 Litovitz, T. A. and Macedo, P. J. Chem. Phys. 1965, 42, 245<br>30 Gray, P. in 'Physics of Simple Liquids' (Eds. H. B. V. Temperley
- Gray, P. in 'Physics of Simple Liquids' (Eds. H. B. V. Temperley, J. S. Rowlinson and G. S. Rushbrooke), North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1968, p. 507
- 31 O'Reilly, *D. E. J. Chem..Phys.* 1974, 60, 1607
- 32 Van Loef, J. J. *Physica* 1982, **114B,** 345
- 33 *McCaU, D.W.andHugons, C.M.J.Appl.Phys. 1965,7,153*  34 McCall, D. W., Douglass, D. C. and Anderson, E.W.J. *Chem. Phys.* 1959, 30, 771
- 35 Bachus, R. and Kimmich, R. *Polymer* 1983, 24, 964
- 36 Doi, M. and Edwards, *S. F. J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. II*  1978, 74, 1789
- 37 Doi, M. and Edwards, *S. F. J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. II*  1978, 74, 1802
- 38 Doi, M. and Edwards, *S. F. J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. II*  1978, 74, 1818
- 39 Zandveld, P., Andriesse, C. D., Bregman, J. D., Hasman, A. and Van Loft, J. J. *Physica* 1970, 50, 511
- 40 Van Loef, J. J. *Physica* 1972, 62, 345
- 41 Castgnoli, G. C., Longhetto, A. and Anfossi, D. *Physics*  1970, 49, 153
- 42 Van Loef, J. J. Physica 1978, 95B, 34
- 43 Gaven, J. V., Stockmayer, W. H. and Waugh, *J. S. J. Chem. Phys.* 1962, 37, 1188
- 44 Riazi, M. R. and Daubert, T. *Am. Inst. Chem. Eng.* 1980, 26, 386
- Panchenkov, G. M., Borisenko, N. N. and Erchenkov, V. V... *Russ. J. Phys. Chem.* 1969, 43, 1328
- 46 *Ertl, H. and Dullien, F. A. L. Am. lnst. Chem. Eng. 197 3,19,1215*

 $\bar{z}$ 

- 47 McCall, D. W. and Anderson, *E. W. J. Chem. Phys.* 1961,34, 804
- 48 Jonas, J. and Akai, *J. A. J. Chem. Phys.* 1977, 66, 4946
- 49 Hurle, R., Easteal, A. and Woolf, L. A. J. Chem. Soc. Faraday *Trans. l* 1985, 81,769
- 50 Jonas, J., Hasha, D. and Huang, *S. G. J. Chem. Phys.* 1979, 71,' 3996
- 51 Easteal, A. J. and Woolf, *L. A. J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. I*  1985, 81, 2821
- 52 Easteal, A. J. and Woolf, *L. A. J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. I*  1984, 80, 549